### **PCC Decision Form**

Subject matter	Name of Proposer (eg Chief Executive, Chief Constable, Director of Finance)	Date of Request	Previous related decisions
Miners' Strike Archive Publication Project	DCC Tim FORBER	28/09/2022	N/A

Private / Exemption - Yes / No If Yes Reason Why (eg Schedule 12A Local Government Act 1972, Freedom of Information Act 2000)	Urgent (if Yes, Why and by When?)
No	Priority request – Meet the publicly stated intention to publish the Miners' Strike Archive / Orgreave material, so that it is electronically accessible to all.

#### **Decision Requested**

The Police and Crime Commissioner is asked to:

Provide funding for the proposed short-term resource uplift – 2.5 years.

#### **Executive Summary of Request**

**Supporting Report attached?** 

Yes

The Chief Constable and Deputy Chief Constable support a resource uplift:

- ➤ Conduct an initial review the material in the possession of SYP to obtain a "policing" understanding of the documents within the Archive, and identify potential risks to the reputation of policing (6 to 12 months);
- Followed by an in-depth analysis and creation of corporate record on HOLMES;
  - i) Identify all nominals, organisations, and linked information (Archivist est. 4,000 + individuals),
  - ii) Classify material in line with current policy e.g. Official, Official SENSITIVE, Legal Professional Privilege (LPP), etc.
  - iii) Detailed description,
  - iv) Identify proposed redactions, and
  - v) Office Manager to further review risks.
- ➤ Legal advice will be obtained (6 month juncture, and as required going forward) to ensure independent oversight of the process, dip sampling of material processed, and as appropriate regarding LPP, risks of disclosure, etc.;
- Consult with interested parties to finalise redaction policy;
- Apply redactions and prepare material for publication (further 18 months);
- Project duration estimate 2.5 years.

#### **Resource Uplift:**

#### £340,517

## **PCC Decision Form**

<u> Legal - Miners Strike Material - Septe</u>		22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	FYE Recurrent
Staff Costs	FTE	£	£	£	£	£
Band E - Manager (50% on this project)	0.50	10,900	21,800	21,800	7,267	-
Band C - Reviewer/Redactor	2.00	10,167	61,000	61,000	20,333	-
Band C - Indexer	1.00	5,083	30,500	30,500	10,167	-
Total Staff Costs		26,150	113,300	113,300	37,767	-
Other Costs		£	£	£		£
Computer hardware & software		10,000	- 20.000	-	-	-
Legal Costs		10.000	30,000	10,000	-	-
Total Other Costs		10,000	30,000	10,000	-	-
Grand Total		36,150	143,300	123,300	37,767	-
Funded By						
PCC Reserves 1		36,150	143,300	123,300	37,767	_
Shortfall / (Surplus)						_
1 - To be included in Legacy projections & inclu Financial Review and Data supplic Approval by proposer (SLG lea	ed by; <b>Dan Blackwell (F</b>	inance Busin	ess Partner)	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.3	3
Financial Review and Data supplie  Approval by proposer (SLG leaconsideration	ed by; Dan Blackwell (F	ead) and	ess Partner)	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Financial Review and Data supplie Approval by proposer (SLG lea	ed by; Dan Blackwell (F	ead) and	ess Partner)	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Financial Review and Data supplie  Approval by proposer (SLG leaconsideration	ed by; Dan Blackwell (F	ead) and	ess Partner)	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Financial Review and Data supplied Approval by proposer (SLG leadonsideration Discussed and supported at the S	ed by; Dan Blackwell (F	ead) and	ess Partner) - I confirm 28th Sept	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Financial Review and Data supplied Approval by proposer (SLG leadonsideration Discussed and supported at the S	ed by; Dan Blackwell (F	ead) and	ess Partner) - I confirm 28th Sept	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Financial Review and Data supplied Approval by proposer (SLG leadonsideration Discussed and supported at the S	ed by; Dan Blackwell (F	ead) and	ess Partner) - I confirm 28th Sept	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Approval by proposer (SLG leaconsideration  Discussed and supported at the Signed:	d / OPCC SMT I	ead) and	ess Partner) - I confirm 28th Sept	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Financial Review and Data supplied Approval by proposer (SLG leadonsideration Discussed and supported at the S	d / OPCC SMT I	ead) and	ess Partner) - I confirm 28th Sept	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Approval by proposer (SLG leaconsideration  Discussed and supported at the Signed:	d / OPCC SMT I	ead) and	ess Partner) - I confirm 28th Sept	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Approval by proposer (SLG leaconsideration  Discussed and supported at the Signed:	d / OPCC SMT I	ead) and	ess Partner) - I confirm 28 <sup>th</sup> Sept	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3
Approval by proposer (SLG leaconsideration  Discussed and supported at the Signed:	d / OPCC SMT I	ead) and	ess Partner) - I confirm 28 <sup>th</sup> Sept	- 29 Septembo	er 2022 - v1.8	3

# PCC Decision Form

Considerations	Evidence of information or advice	How has all this evidence impacted on
	gathered or discussion / consultation	overall proposal?
Dublic and / ar	Interested parties to include The Information	CVD's Miners' Strike Dublication Strategy was
Public and / or Partners	Interested parties to include: The Information Commissioner's Office, The Home Office, The Police and Crime Commissioner, Mutual Aid – other Forces providing officers to assist in policing the Miner's Strike, The Police Federation, Police Superintendents Association, the National Association of Retired Police Officers (NARPO), and consideration of the Orgreave Truth and Justice Campaign.  SYP will be in a position to provide detailed information (18 months) linked to named individuals, organisations and the precise context of their involvement. This will drive detailed consultation with interested parties to finalise redaction policy.	SYP's Miners' Strike Publication Strategy was released in January 2017, which confirmed that 'SYP intends to publish the Orgreave / Miners' Strike Archive so that it is electronically accessible to all', subject to an agreed redaction policy.  February 2021, Publication Strategy updated to reflect there was no IOPC investigation or Government Inquiry. It included planned decision-making and consultation ahead of any publication, to provide process integrity and transparency.  All paper / audio / visual Archive material is now digitally available on SYP systems for review.
Impact on Service	There is negative reputational impact for SYP linked to this historical period of policing.	SYP are now in a position to progress their 2017 commitment.
Financial	Openness and transparency will mitigate this.  Short term financial funding sought from PCC reserves.	2018 The Home Affairs Select Committee were pressing for information to be put into
Legal	Funding Proposal includes legal advice (6 month juncture, and as required going forward) to ensure independent oversight of the process, dip sampling of material processed, and as appropriate regarding Legal Professional Privilege, risks of disclosure, etc.	the public domain and this position was echoed by the PCC.  https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/commons-committees/home-affairs/Correspondence-17-19/Letter-from-Chair-to-Home-Secretary-re-Orgreave-policing-files-15-2-2018.pdf
HR	N/A	
T/Unions / Staff Assoc.	N/A	
Equality & Diversity (Public Sector Equality Duty)	N/A	
Risks / Opportunities	In addition to mitigating the reputational impact on SYP, there is the opportunity to build a robust corporate memory of the material.	
Sustainability	N/A	
Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)	Stage 2 Data Protection Impact Assessment required post interested party consultation process	
Other (specify)	N/A	

### **PCC Decision Form**

### Views of PCC's Chief Finance Officer

Further to the Orgreave decision record that the Force submitted, and subsequent discussion. The Finance team has now updated the MTRS with the revised figures (moving the 23/24 expenditure into 24/25 and this now forms part of the budget proposal. I understand that the force is keen to recruit to the post. Given the time this takes, could you let me know if you're both content to support the decision to fund this please before the budget is approved?

I'm happy to support as the overall funding request hasn't changed, just the timing of the expenditure.

Signature:	Dat	
		16/01/23

Views of PC	C's Chief Executive & Solicitor		
I have been	consulted about this proposal and I recommend PCC a	approval.	
Signature:		Date:	16/01/23

PCC's Deci	sion and Rationale		
Approved			
Signature:		Date:	16/01/23

### **OPCC GATEKEEPER (Information Officer)**

Decision Ref. No	PAB Minute No: (where applicable)	Date Proposer notified of Decision	Date Published